

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/728,568	12/04/2003	Paul R. Coronado	IL-11286	8931	
7590 02/03/2006			EXAMINER		
Ann M. Lee			JOHNSON, EDWARD M		
Assistant Labor	atory Counsel				
Lawrence Livemore National Laboratory			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 808, L-703			1754		
Livermore, CA 94551			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/728,568 CORONADO ET AL.		AL.	
Office Action Summary	E	xaminer	Art Unit		
		dward M. Johnson	1754		
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appear	rs on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THI - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this countries. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for any reply received by the Office later than three mone earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(1)	E MAILING DATE ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) communication. In statutory period will apprepriy will, by statute, cauths after the mailing date	E OF THIS COMMUNIC. In no event, however, may a repoply and will expire SIX (6) MONT se the application to become ABA	ATION. Oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this condition (NDONED) (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice. 	2b)⊠ This action for allowance	tion is non-final. except for formal matte	•	merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-14 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) i 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-14 is/are rejected to 10 is/are objected to 10 is/are objected to 10 is/are subject to resect to 10 is/are subject to 10 is/are objected to 11 is/are objected included 12 is/are objected included 13 is/are objected 13 is/are objected	s/are withdrawn fected. striction and/or electric tion and/or electric tion and/or electric the Examiner. are: a) accepted bjection to the drawling the correction in	from consideration. ection requirement. ed or b) objected to by wing(s) be held in abeyance is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CF	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internation	ity documents hat ity documents hat es of the priority of tional Bureau (Po	ave been received. ave been received in App documents have been re CT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National S	Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1448 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/728,568 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Demizu et al. US 5,547,794.

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Demizu '794 discloses a granulated composition comprising hydrophobic aerogel (see column 9, lines 28-31) and active carbon (see column 4, lines 37-41).

Demizu fails to disclose functionalizing with an arsenic-removing constituent.

It is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to functionalize the composition of Demizu with a metal-removing constituent because Demizu discloses incorporation of phosphoric acid (see column 7, lines 7-9), Applicant's preferred arsenic-

removing constituent, into the composition to preferably act as dispersion stabilizer auxiliary agent (see column 7, lines 3-4).

Regarding claims 2-3 and 5, Demizu '794 discloses granulated composition comprising hydrophobic aerogel (see column 9, lines 28-31) and active carbon (see column 4, lines 37-41).

Regarding claim 4, Demizu '794 discloses iron and manganese (see column 4, lines 40-57).

Regarding claim 6, Demizu '794 discloses incorporation of phosphoric acid (see column 7, lines 7-9)

Regarding claim 14, Demizu discloses 0.8 parts per 100 (see column 9, lines 28-31).

3. Claims 1-3, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tavlarides et al. US 5,817,239.

Regarding claim 1, Tavlarides '239 discloses a sorbent material for removing heavy metal ions (see abstract and Fig. 2) comprising hydrophobic functionalized silica gel (see column 5, lines 32-37 and 54-58)

Tavlarides fails to disclose the gel functionalized with an arsenic-removing constituent.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to functionalize the gel of Tavlarides with a arsenic-removing constituent because

Application/Control Number: 10/728,568

Art Unit: 1754

Tavlarides discloses the composition for removal of heavy metals (see column 8, lines 53-58), which would motivate one of ordinary skill to functionalize the disclosed gel with an arsenic metal removing constituent, as disclosed.

Regarding claim 8, Tavlarides '239 discloses a sorbent material for removing heavy metal ions (see abstract and Fig. 2) comprising hydrophobic functionalized silica gel (see column 5, lines 32-37 and 54-58).

Tavlarides fails to disclose analyzing after contacting for the presence of arsenic.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to analyze the sorbent of Tavlarides after contacting for the presence of arsenic because Tavlarides discloses the composition for removal of heavy metals (see column 8, lines 53-58), which would motivate one of ordinary skill to perform such contact and check for the efficiency thereof for the removal of arsenic, as disclosed.

Regarding claim 2, Tavlarides '239 discloses beads (see column 5, lines 36-37), which would at least motivate granules in an ordinary artisan.

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Tavlarides '239 discloses silica gel, which would motivate an ordinary artisan to use either aerogel or xerogel.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,568 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

4. Claims 4-7 and 11-14 are are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Tavlarides '239, as applied above, and further in view of Moskovitz et al. US 5,948,726.

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Tavlarides '239 discloses a sorbent material for removing heavy metal ions (see abstract and Fig. 2) comprising hydrophobic functionalized silica gel (see column 5, lines 32-37 and 54-58).

Tavlarides fails to disclose activated carbon.

Moskovitz '726 discloses activated carbon (see column 6, line 37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the active carbon of Moskovitz in the sorbent of Tavlarides because Moskovitz discloses the active carbon for use in making an adsorbent (title) preferably to cross-link the binder with itself and to facilitate further processing, extruding, or filter pressing (see column 6, lines 38-44).

Regarding claims 4-6 and 11-14 Moskovitz '726 discloses manganese, iron (see column 8, lines 10, 25, and 32-33), activated carbon (see column 6, line 37), and addition of phosphoric acid (see column 9, lines 4-22).

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 1754

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/728,568

Art Unit: 1754

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner

Car M.L

Page 7

Art Unit 1754

EMJ